

# Derived categories

Matt Booth

Homological Mirror Symmetry by Examples seminar

Edinburgh, Autumn 2019

## 1 The derived category of a ring

**Definition 1.1.** A complex (of vector spaces) is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded sequence of vector spaces  $V^i$  together with differentials  $d^i : V^i \rightarrow V^{i+1}$  satisfying  $d^2 = 0$ . We can play the same game with abelian groups, or with modules over a ring, ...

I'm using cohomological indexing here. Complexes are useful in geometry and topology (e.g. the de Rham complex of a smooth manifold or the singular chain complex of a topological space). They're designed to be objects that we can take cohomology of:

**Definition 1.2.** If  $V$  is a complex, then its  $i^{\text{th}}$  cohomology group is the space  $H^i(V) := \frac{\ker d^i}{\text{im } d^{i-1}}$  (so it's a subquotient of  $V^i$ ).

Examples: singular homology, de Rham cohomology. Motivation: 'complexes good, cohomology bad' [Tho01].

*Example 1.3.* Suppose we have some topological space whose homology is computed by the complex  $V := \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}$  with the right-hand  $\mathbb{Z}$  in degree zero. You can check that  $H^*(V)$  is concentrated in degree zero, where it's  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ . To compute the singular cohomology of  $V$ , you take the cohomology of the dual complex  $V^\vee := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(V, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}$  where this time the right-hand  $\mathbb{Z}$  lives in degree one. We get  $H^1(V^\vee) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ . If we'd just taken the dual of  $H(V)$ , we'd have killed all the torsion and wouldn't get the right answer.

Since we mainly care about complexes as vehicles to compute cohomology, the following is a natural definition to make:

**Definition 1.4.** A morphism of two complexes  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is a set of maps  $f^i : V^i \rightarrow W^i$  commuting with the differentials. We get induced morphisms on cohomology. Say that  $f$  is a quasi-isomorphism if, for all  $i$ , the induced map  $H^i f : H^i V \rightarrow H^i W$  is an isomorphism.

*Example 1.5.* The complex  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}$  from earlier is quasi-isomorphic to the complex  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  (i.e. the group  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  placed in degree zero, with no differential) via the obvious map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Idea:  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}$  is a complex of nice things (free abelian groups) which 'resolves' a nastier thing (a torsion group).

*Remark 1.6.* Beware! Two complexes being quasi-isomorphic is a stronger condition than them having the same cohomology (just like two spaces with isomorphic cohomology groups don't have to be homotopy equivalent).

*Remark 1.7.* Over a field  $k$ , every complex is quasi-isomorphic to its cohomology as, by choosing sections, one can build a chain map  $V \rightarrow H(V)$  which is the identity on cohomology. This is not true in general! If  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra then a complex of  $R$ -modules always admits a  $k$ -linear map to its cohomology, but this may fail to be  $R$ -linear.

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $R$  be a ring. Its bounded derived category is the category  $D^b(R)$  with objects complexes of finitely generated  $R$ -modules with bounded cohomology. We identify two complexes when they are quasi-isomorphic. The morphisms are given by equivalence classes of chain maps; we'll see a concrete description of them soon.

*Remark 1.9.* More formally,  $D^b(R)$  is the localisation of the category of (cohomologically bounded, finitely generated in each degree) chain complexes at the quasi-isomorphisms.

*Remark 1.10.* The derived category contains a shift functor: let  $X[i]$  denote 'X shifted to the left by  $i$  places' (i.e.  $X[i]^n = X^{i+n}$ ). Clearly we have  $X[i][j] \cong X[i+j]$ .

The derived category has a bunch of extra structure. Firstly, it's triangulated, meaning essentially that one can take mapping cones in a reasonable manner. We won't explore this further. Moreover, it has a natural enhancement to a dg category, where one has morphism complexes between objects. We won't think about this in detail; we'll content ourselves with thinking about the homotopy category, where one has graded spaces of morphisms (but no differentials) given by taking cohomology of the morphism complexes.

A good motivational reference for derived categories is [Tho01]. For the keen, [Wei94] is a comprehensive textbook on homological algebra.

## 2 Ext functors and mapping spaces

How do we actually work out the graded morphism spaces in the derived category? Let  $R$  be a ring. Recall that a projective module is a summand of a free module (e.g.  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  is a projective but not free  $\mathbb{Z}/6$ -module). If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, then a projective resolution for  $M$  is a complex  $P$  of finitely generated projectives with cohomology concentrated in degree 0, where it is  $H^0 P \cong M$ . Necessarily  $P$  is then quasi-isomorphic to  $M$ . Example:  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}$  resolves  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ .

**Definition 2.1.** If  $V$  and  $W$  are two complexes, a degree  $j$  map takes  $V^i \rightarrow W^{i+j}$ . If  $\text{Hom}^j(V, W)$  denotes the set of degree  $j$  maps, then the set of maps between the two underlying modules is  $\text{Hom}(V, W) = \prod_j \text{Hom}^j(V, W)$  (if both of your complexes are bounded you can use the sum instead). This becomes a complex upon setting  $\partial f = f d_V \pm d_W f$  where the sign depends on the degree of  $f$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two modules. Let  $P \rightarrow M$  be a projective resolution. The  $i^{\text{th}}$  Ext group is  $\text{Ext}^i(M, N) := H^i(\text{Hom}(P, N))$ .

One can check that Ext extends to a well-defined bifunctor on the derived category, although I've only told you how to compute it for modules. Fact: if  $M$  and  $N$  are modules then  $\text{Ext}^0(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}(M, N)$ , but this isn't true for complexes in general. If  $M = N$  then the complex  $\text{Hom}(P, P)$  becomes a differential graded algebra, and this makes  $\text{Ext}^*(M, M)$  into an algebra. The following is a nontrivial theorem:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two modules. Then there is an isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{D(R)}^*(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}^*(M, N)$ . Moreover, if  $M = N$  then this is an isomorphism of graded algebras.*

This is valid for all complexes, not just modules.

*Remark 2.4.* If you forget that the derived category has graded hom-spaces, you get  $\text{Hom}_{D(R)}(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}^0(M, N)$ . It is not hard to see that using this convention, there are isomorphisms  $\text{Hom}_{D(R)}(M, N[i]) \cong \text{Ext}^i(M, N)$ . You sometimes see this formulation as a definition of Ext.

Morally, what's going on is that there's some kind of 'total derived hom' functor  $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom} : D(R) \times D(R) \rightarrow D(\mathbb{Z})$  that has complexes up to quasi-isomorphism as both input and output, and Ext is its cohomology. This is closely related to the dg-category structure on  $D(R)$ : the mapping complexes are given by (particular models for)  $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}$ . To go from the dg derived category to  $D(R)$ , one takes  $H^*$  of the morphism complexes (this is a special case of the general construction of the homotopy category of a dg category). If you are keen to learn more about dg categories you can try [Kel06], or [Toë11] if you know a bit of homotopy theory.

*Example 2.5.* Ext functors show up in real life! You can check that  $\text{Ext}^1(M, N)$  is in bijection with the set of (equivalence classes of) 1-extensions of  $M$  by  $N$ ; a.k.a. short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

In general,  $\text{Ext}^n(M, N)$  is in bijection with (equivalence classes of)  $n$ -extensions

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow M.$$

The compositions  $\text{Ext}^n \times \text{Ext}^m \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+m}$  correspond to the Yoneda product: essentially, one splices together  $n$ -extensions and  $m$ -extensions to get  $(n + m)$ -extensions.

*Example 2.6.* If you know about Hochschild cohomology: if  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra, then  $HH^n(R, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{R \otimes R^{\text{op}}}^n(R, M)$ , because the bar resolution of  $R$  resolves  $R$  as an  $R$ -bimodule.

### 3 Computations in the algebraic world

To do computations, we need to be able to build resolutions. In this section, we'll do an extended example. Let  $R := k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the coordinate ring of affine  $n$ -space  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$ . Put  $K^p := \bigwedge_R^p R^{\oplus n}$ , the  $p^{\text{th}}$  exterior product of  $R^{\oplus n}$  with itself. Define a differential

$$d(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}) := \sum_k (-1)^{k+1} x_{i_k} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e_{i_k}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p} \wedge e_{i_p}$$

where  $\widehat{e_{i_k}}$  means we omit  $e_{i_k}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *The sequence  $K^n \rightarrow K^{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K^0$  is a complex. Moreover  $K \rightarrow k$  is a resolution.*

Call  $K$  the Koszul complex.

*Example 3.2.* For  $n = 2$  the Koszul complex is

$$R \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x \\ -y \end{pmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{(y, x)} R.$$

Because the Koszul complex  $K$  resolves  $k$ , we can say that if  $N$  is a module over  $R$  then we have an isomorphism  $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, N) := H^i \text{Hom}_R(K, N)$ . In particular, if  $N = k$  then we have  $\text{Hom}_R(R, k) \cong k$ , and so the hom-complex has in its  $i^{\text{th}}$  degree  $\text{Hom}_R^i(K, k) = \text{Hom}_R(K^i, k) \cong \bigwedge^i(k^n)$ . The differential vanishes because each  $x_i$  has image  $0 \in k$ . In particular,  $H^i(\text{Hom}_R(K, k)) \cong \text{Hom}_R^i(K, k) \cong \bigwedge^i(k^n)$ . So  $\text{Ext}_R^*(k, k) \cong \bigwedge^*(k^n)$ . In fact, this is an isomorphism of graded algebras.

*Remark 3.3.* One can show that  $\text{Ext}_{\bigwedge^*(k^n)}^*(k, k)$  is just  $R$  again (this is a manifestation of Koszul duality).

See [Wei94, 4.5] for the specifics of Koszul complexes.

### 4 Coherent sheaves

Now we'd like to do some geometry. Assume from now on that  $k$  is algebraically closed. Let  $X$  be an affine or projective algebraic variety over  $k$  (e.g.  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  or  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ ). A sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is like a vector bundle on  $X$ : over each open subset  $U$ , there are a bunch of sections  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ , and they glue together in the appropriate manner. You can think of sheaves as vector bundles on submanifolds. For example, let  $x$  be a (closed) point of  $X$ . The skyscraper sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_x$  has sections given by

$$\mathcal{O}_x(U) := \begin{cases} k & x \in U \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Associated to any closed subvariety  $Z \hookrightarrow X$  there is a corresponding sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_Z$  of polynomial functions on  $Z$ . In particular,  $X$  itself has a structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and its global sections are the coordinate ring of  $X$ . When  $X$  is affine  $n$ -space, the global sections of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  are exactly  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Projective space has no non-constant global functions (this is an analogue of Liouville's theorem).

Formally, a coherent sheaf on  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  is the same thing as a finitely generated module over the coordinate ring  $R := k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . The ring  $R$  itself corresponds to the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . Ideals  $I \subset R$  define subvarieties  $V(I) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^n$  by looking at where the functions in  $I$  vanish. The coordinate ring of  $V(I)$  is exactly the quotient  $R/I$ . Under the above correspondence,  $R/I$  corresponds to the coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{V(I)}$ .

More generally, let  $X$  be any variety. Cover it with finitely many open affine pieces  $U_\alpha$ . Then a coherent sheaf on  $X$  is the same thing as a compatible collection of coherent sheaves on each of the  $U_\alpha$ . A module over the coordinate ring of  $X$  is a coherent sheaf, but there may be many more.

Let's think about  $\mathbb{P}^1$  in detail. It's covered by two copies of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  (with coordinate rings  $k[x]$  and  $k[y]$ , say) and they meet in a punctured copy of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , which has coordinate ring  $k[z, z^{-1}]$ . They glue along the maps  $x = 1/z$  and  $y = z$ . A coherent sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is given by a  $k[x]$ -module  $M$  and a  $k[y]$ -module  $N$  together with a transition function; we'll encode the data of this transition function by the restriction maps.

Let's think specifically about line bundles (i.e. locally free sheaves of rank one). In this case,  $M = k[x]$  and  $N = k[y]$ . Write  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  for the line bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with transition function given by multiplication by  $z^d$ . A global section of  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  is hence given by a pair of polynomials  $p(x)$  and  $q(y)$  such that  $p(1/z)z^d = q(z)$ . It follows that, if  $d > 0$ , then  $p$  must have degree  $\leq d$ , and indeed this is the only condition we need. So if  $d > 0$ , the space of global sections of  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  has dimension  $d + 1$ , and if  $d < 0$  then there are no global sections.

The bundle  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(0)$  is the structure sheaf of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  (this is easy to see!). You can easily check that  $\mathcal{O}(i) \otimes \mathcal{O}(j) \cong \mathcal{O}(i + j)$ , since tensoring corresponds to multiplying transition functions. The bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  is called Serre's twisting sheaf. People often write  $\mathcal{F}(d)$  to mean  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(d)$ .

There is a similar story for  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ , which has a family of line bundles  $\mathcal{O}(d)$ , and they have no global sections if  $d < 0$ . If  $d \geq 0$ , the dimension of the space of global sections of  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  is the binomial coefficient  $\binom{n+d}{n}$ . In fact, the  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  are all of the line bundles on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ .

Now we've seen some coherent sheaves, we proceed very similarly: look at complexes of sheaves on  $X$  and invert quasi-isomorphisms to get the derived category  $D(X) := D^b(\text{Coh}(X))$ . If  $X$  is affine space, with coordinate ring  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then we have an equivalence  $D(X) \cong D(R)$ . In the next section we'll do some computations.

The standard English reference for schemes and sheaves is [Har77], although [Vak] is more readable. See [Că105] for an introduction to derived categories of coherent sheaves and [Huy06] for a more comprehensive textbook account.

## 5 Computations in the geometric world

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $p$  and  $q$  be (closed) points of  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$ . Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{A}_k^n}^*(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_q) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & p \neq q \\ \bigwedge^*(k^n) & p = q \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $p \neq q$ , then there are no maps  $\mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q$  in the derived category. Geometrically this is clear, because the sheaves have stalks supported at  $p$  and  $q$  respectively. If  $p = q$ , we may as well assume by a linear transformation that  $p$  is the origin. Now use the equivalence of  $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{A}_k^n)$  with  $\mathrm{mod} \text{-} k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  to see that  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{A}_k^n}^*(\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_0) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^*(k, k)$ . But we've done this computation already.  $\square$

*Remark 5.2.* Why do we care about this in the context of HMS? The idea is that  $X$  is a moduli space of points on  $X$ , so if we want to 'build'  $X$  as this moduli space we want to know what the Ext-algebras of the sheaves  $\mathcal{O}_p$  are for (closed) points  $p \in X$ . On the symplectic side of the mirror, points ought to correspond to Lagrangian tori, whose Ext-algebras are again exterior algebras, so things do indeed match up.

Let's do some computations on non-affine varieties. Things get more difficult now, because projective resolutions might not exist. So we'll have to work a bit harder. We'll need some facts:

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $X$  be a variety.*

- *If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a vector bundle on  $X$ , there is a dual vector bundle  $\mathcal{L}^\vee$ . If  $\mathcal{L}$  is in addition a line bundle then there is a canonical isomorphism  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}$ .*
- *If  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^n$ , the dual of  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(-d)$ .*
- *Let  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  be any coherent sheaves on  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a vector bundle. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee, \mathcal{G}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{G}).$$

*In particular, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle then putting  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}$  we get*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{G}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{G}).$$

- *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent sheaf on  $X$ , then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$$

*where the right-hand side denotes sheaf cohomology.*

If you don't know about sheaf cohomology, the above is basically the definition.

*Remark 5.4.* One can also define a sheaf  $\mathcal{E}xt$ , which is the derived functor of sheaf  $\mathcal{H}om$ . Because  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}, -)$  is the identity functor, the higher  $\mathcal{E}xt(\mathcal{O}, -)$  functors vanish. There is a local-to-global Ext spectral sequence with  $E^2$  page

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{E}xt^q(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}^{p+q}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$$

comparing sheaf  $\mathcal{E}xt$  to usual Ext. One can think of Ext as being built from a purely homological part (the  $\mathcal{E}xt^q$  sheaves) and a purely geometric part (the sheaf cohomology functors).

Putting the previous facts together, we see that

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}^l(\mathcal{O}(i), \mathcal{O}(j)) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}^l(\mathcal{O}(i), \mathcal{O}(i) \otimes \mathcal{O}(j-i)) \cong H^l(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}(j-i)).$$

So we need to do a sheaf cohomology computation! We're going to use Čech cohomology (that this is a valid way of computing sheaf cohomology is a nontrivial theorem of Leray). We'll just do it for  $n = 1$ .

Recipe for Čech cohomology: cover your space  $X$  by affine opens  $U_\alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf on  $X$ , and put  $U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} := U_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_n}$ . The Čech complex is the complex

$$\prod_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha, \beta} \mathcal{F}(U_{\alpha\beta}) \rightarrow \dots$$

where the differentials are given by the alternating sums of the restriction maps. Then the cohomology of the Čech complex is the same as the sheaf cohomology of  $\mathcal{F}$ . So if  $U_0, U_1$  is the standard cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  is any sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , then the cohomology of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the cohomology of the complex

$$\mathcal{F}(U_0) \times \mathcal{F}(U_1) \xrightarrow{r_0 - r_1} \mathcal{F}(U_0 \cap U_1)$$

where the  $r_i : \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_0 \cap U_1)$  are the restriction maps. In particular the cohomology of  $\mathcal{F}$  vanishes outside of degrees 0 and 1.

Because  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}, -)$  is the global sections functor, it follows that  $H^0(X, -) \cong \text{Ext}^0(\mathcal{O}, -)$  is also the global sections functor. We can also see this directly (at least for  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ) from the Čech complex, since a global section is given by sections on  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  which agree on the intersection.

Since we know the global sections of  $\mathcal{O}(d)$ , to complete the description of the Čech cohomology of  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  we just need to work out what  $H^1$  is. Looking at the Čech complex gives us an isomorphism

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d)) \cong \text{coker}(k[x] \times k[y] \rightarrow k[z, z^{-1}])$$

where the differential sends  $(p(x), q(y)) \mapsto p(z^{-1})z^d - q(z)$ . The point is now that  $p(z^{-1})z^d$  can hit all Laurent polynomials of the form  $\cdots + a_{d-1}z^{d-1} + a_d z^d$  and that  $q(z)$  can hit all Laurent polynomials of the form  $b_0 + b_1 z + \cdots$ . So if  $d \geq -1$  then we can obtain all Laurent polynomials with appropriate sums of this form, and the cokernel vanishes, and so there is no  $H^1$ . But if  $d < -1$  then we cannot hit polynomials of the form  $c_{d-1}z^{d-1} + \cdots + c_{-1}z^{-1}$ . So the cokernel consists of these polynomials and hence has dimension  $-1 - d$ . So we've proved:

**Theorem 5.5.**  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^n(\mathcal{O}(i), \mathcal{O}(j)) \cong H^n(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(j - i))$  is zero unless  $n$  is zero or one. When  $n = 0$  we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^0(\mathcal{O}(i), \mathcal{O}(j)) \cong \begin{cases} k^{j-i+1} & i \leq j \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and when  $n = 1$  we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1(\mathcal{O}(i), \mathcal{O}(j)) \cong \begin{cases} k^{i-j-1} & i - 1 > j \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

There are similar statements for  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ; in particular  $H^*(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$  vanishes outside of degrees 0 and  $n$ .

See e.g. [Har77, Chapter III] for material on sheaf cohomology as well as the other facts we use here. A more friendly reference for sheaf cohomology (especially Čech cohomology) is [Vak, Chapter 18].

## References

- [Că105] Andrei Căldăraru. Derived categories of sheaves: a skimming. In *Snowbird lectures in algebraic geometry*, volume 388 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 43–75. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Number 52 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [Huy06] D. Huybrechts. *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
- [Kel06] Bernhard Keller. On differential graded categories. In *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, pages 151–190. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.
- [Tho01] R. P. Thomas. Derived categories for the working mathematician. In *Winter School on Mirror Symmetry, Vector Bundles and Lagrangian Submanifolds (Cambridge, MA, 1999)*, volume 23 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 349–361. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [Toë11] Bertrand Toën. Lectures on dg-categories. In *Topics in algebraic and topological K-theory*, volume 2008 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 243–302. Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [Vak] Ravi Vakil. Foundations of algebraic geometry. <http://math.stanford.edu/~vakil/216blog/FOAGnov1817public.pdf>.
- [Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.