

∞ -categories intro talk

Heuristic idea behind higher categories

a higher category shall have

- objects (= 0-morphisms) •
- morphisms (= 1-morphisms) • $\rightarrow$ •
- 2-morphisms • 
- 3-morphisms • 
- etc.

Example to keep in mind

a cobordism between two closed n -manifolds M, N is a ~~manifold~~ $n+1$ -mfd X with $\partial X = M \sqcup N$.

eg.  ,  ,  .

Should have some sort of higher cobordism category with

objects 0-dim mfd's

morphisms cobordisms (= 1-dim mfd's with boundaries)

2-morphism cobordisms between cobordisms

$\vdots$

n -morphisms n -dim^l cobordisms with corners

First attempt or strict 1-category is a category.

A strict n -category is a category enriched in strict $(n-1)$ -categories.

THIS DEFINITION IS BAD! ($n \geq 2$ is ok)
The e.g. composition of cobordisms is not strictly associative, so cobordisms don't form a strict n -cat ($n > 1$)

Another ^(not-) example: the path category

X a topological space.

form a category PX with

aka the fundamental groupoid.

- objects: points of X

- morphisms: $\text{Hom}(x, y) = \{\text{paths } x \rightarrow y \text{ in } X\}$

once again, composition is not strictly associative.

Usual fix: look at paths up to homotopy

PX then becomes a groupoid, & $\text{End}_{PX}(x) \cong \pi_1(X, x)$.

General slogan: Don't quotient out by data but remember it (e.g. a G -set X is better than the set X/G)

~~We~~ We should have a higher category $\pi_{\leq n} X$ with

- objects: points of X

- morphisms: paths between points

- 2-morphisms: homotopies between paths

- 3-morphisms: homotopies between homotopies

⋮

GOAL OF THIS SEMINAR

- Axiomatise $(\infty, 1)$ -categories: these are higher categories with k -morphisms for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, st. every r -morphism is invertible for $r > 1$

- See how they relate to some other notions (esp. dg categories)

Homotopy hypothesis

whatever an ∞ -category is,
we should be able to extract from
a topological space an ∞ -category $\Pi_{\infty} X$.

In fact $\Pi_{\infty} X$ should be an ∞ -groupoid
i.e. all r -morphisms are invertible for $r \geq 1$.

Grothendieck's homotopy hypothesis (in Pursuing Stacks, 1983)

says that Π_{∞} should be an equivalence
between topological spaces & ∞ -groupoids

(in an appropriate sense!)

So ∞ -categories should be objects that
simultaneously generalise categories & topological
spaces.

DIFFERENT MODELS ARE AVAILABLE!

most naive def: an ∞ -cat. is a category enriched
in Top. Just about can be made to work but
is tricky - topological spaces are tricky analytic
objects whereas we'd like something more discrete/combinatorial.

Simplicial sets are combinatorial models of spaces.

vague definition: a sSet has ^{a set of} n -simplices for
each n , linked by a collection of face
& degeneracy maps.

Think:



gluing $\rightarrow$



a topological space has a singular simplicial set which at level n is the set $\text{Hom}(\Delta^n, X)$

of maps from the standard n -simplex $\Delta^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to X . (*)
(Closely related to the singular chain complex that computes homology.)

a category has a nerve which is a set which at level n is the strings of n composable morphisms.

A quasicategory (synonymous for us with ∞ -category) will be a certain kind of simplicial set.
This will generalise both spaces & categories.

Other models

- Simplicially enriched categories (Bergner)
- (Complete) Segal spaces (Rezk) $\checkmark$ Good for $(\infty, 1)$ -cats.
- Model categories } encode certain kinds of ∞ -cats.
- ∞ categories }
- Categories w/ weak equivalences

...

$$(*) : \Delta^n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x_0 + \dots + x_n = 1, x_i \geq 0 \right\}$$