Noncommutative Hodge Theory Lecture 2: Hochschild Homology

Lecture by Matt Booth Notes by Brent Pym

January 22, 2018

Abstract

We introduce one of the basic ingredients of noncommutative Hodge theory: Hochschild homology of algebras and categories.

1 Geometric motivation

Differential forms on a variety X and their sheaf cohomology groups

 $\mathsf{H}^{p,q}(\mathsf{X}) = \mathsf{H}^q(\mathsf{X}, \Omega^p_{\mathsf{X}})$

play an essential role in defining the Hodge structure on the cohomology of X. Thus the first step towards defining noncommutative Hodge structures is to find a good replacement for the groups $H^{p,q}(X)$ when X is a noncommutative space.

In this lecture, we introduce an important invariant of algebras and categories: the Hochschild homology $HH_{\bullet}(-)$. Standard references for this material include [3, 5]. In the next lecture we will see that, when applied to the algebra of functions (or category of sheaves) on a smooth variety X, the Hochschild homology recovers the spaces $H^{p,q}(X)$.

2 Hochschild homology for algebras

Let \mathbb{K} be a field. Throughout these notes, all algebras are unital \mathbb{K} -algebras, i.e. they contain a multiplicative identity 1. The tensor product symbol \otimes denotes the tensor product over \mathbb{K} unless otherwise specified.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{K} -algebra. The *Hochschild complex of* A is

$$\mathsf{C}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \cdots \xrightarrow{b} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{b} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{b} \mathcal{A} \end{array} \right)$$

where \mathcal{A} sits in degree zero, and the differential is given by

$$b(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n + (-1)^n a_n a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}$$

for all $a_0, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$. The **Hochschild homology of** \mathcal{A} is the homology of this complex: $\mathsf{HH}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathsf{H}_{\bullet}(\mathsf{C}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}), \mathsf{b})$.

Let us compute the differential d in the simplest case. Given $a_0, a_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ we have that

$$\mathbf{b}(a_0 \otimes a_1) = a_0 a_1 - a_1 a_0 = [a_0, a_1],$$

the commutator of a_0 and a_1 . Thus the zeroth Hochschild homology has a simple interpretation, as the *cocentre*:

$$\mathsf{HH}_0(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{\mathsf{C}_0(\mathcal{A})}{\mathrm{d}(\mathsf{C}_1(\mathcal{A}))} = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{A})} = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{[\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}]}$$

where $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}] \subset \mathcal{A}$ is the subspace spanned by all commutators of \mathcal{A} .

In general, the homology of the Hochschild complex is quite difficult to compute directly. For this reason, it is helpful to give an interpretation of $HH_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$ as a derived functor, which often allows us to compute it using a simpler complex.

To this end, we recall that the *enveloping algebra of* \mathcal{A} is the algebra

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{e}} := \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{op}},$$

where \mathcal{A}^{op} denotes the opposite algebra of \mathcal{A} . By construction, a left (or right) \mathcal{A}^{e} -module is the same thing as an \mathcal{A} -bimodule. In particular, \mathcal{A} is both a left and a right \mathcal{A}^{e} -module, so that we may define the tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^{e}} \mathcal{A}$, and its derived version, the Tor groups $\operatorname{Tor}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{A}^{e}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$.

Proposition 2.2. We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathsf{HH}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathsf{Tor}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{e}}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$$

Sketch of proof. (See, e.g. [3, Section 1.1] for more details.) To compute the Tor group, we find a resolution of \mathcal{A} by free \mathcal{A}^{e} -modules. This resolution is given by the bar complex

$$\mathsf{Bar}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) = \left(\cdots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{b}'} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{b}'} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{b}'} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \right)$$

where the differential is given by

$$\mathbf{b}'(a_0\otimes\cdots\otimes a_n)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^i a_0\otimes\cdots\otimes a_i a_{i+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes a_n$$

Note that this is similar to the Hochschild differential, but with less terms. If we view $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}$ as an \mathcal{A} -bimodule by multiplication on the leftmost and rightmost tensor factors, then $\mathsf{Bar}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$ becomes a complex of free \mathcal{A}^{e} -modules.

Since \mathcal{A} is unital, multiplication gives a natural surjective \mathcal{A} -bimodule map

$$\mathsf{Bar}_0(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

and one can show that this induces a quasi-isomorphism $\mathsf{Bar}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{A}$ of complexes of \mathcal{A} -bimodules. Hence we may compute $\mathsf{Tor}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{A}^e}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ as the homology of the complex $\mathsf{Bar}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^e} \mathcal{A}$, but this is canonically isomorphic to the Hochschild complex $\mathsf{C}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$, which gives the result.

Remark 2.3. When \mathcal{A} is commutative, this proposition has a geometric interpretation in terms of the scheme $\mathsf{X} = \mathsf{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$. Indeed $\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{e}} = \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{X}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathsf{X})$ can be viewed as the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathsf{X} \times \mathsf{X})$ of functions on the product $\mathsf{X} \times \mathsf{X}$. The multiplication map $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ used in the bar resolution is dual to the diagonal inclusion $\mathsf{X} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{X} \times \mathsf{X}$. The tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{e}}} \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}$ is therefore naturally interpreted as the algebra of functions on the self-intersection $\mathsf{X} \cap \mathsf{X} = \mathsf{X}$ of the diagonal inside $\mathsf{X} \times \mathsf{X}$. This intersection is not transverse, and the failure of transversality is measured by the higher Tor groups $\mathsf{Tor}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{e}}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = \mathsf{HH}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A})$. Indeed, one of the key ideas of derived algebraic geometry (originating from an intersection multiplicity formula due to Serre) is that the higher Tor groups should be considered part of the *definition* of $\mathsf{X} \cap \mathsf{X}$ as a "derived scheme". \Box

Using Proposition 2.2, we can determine the Hochschild homology of some simple algebras.

Example 2.4. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring. Then the enveloping algebra is also a polynomial ring, whose generators we give different names.

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{e}} = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{op}} \cong \mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n]$$

Under this identification, the multiplication map $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is given by the ring homomorphism that sends $y_i \mapsto x_i$ and $z_i \mapsto x_i$. Evidently the elements $r_i = y_i - z_i$ are annihilated by this map, and in fact they generate the kernel, so that we have a presentation

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{e}}/(r_1, \dots, r_n)$$

In other words, if $\mathcal{M} := (\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{e}})^{\oplus n}$, we have a map

$$\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{(r_1, \dots, r_n)} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{e}}$$

whose cokernel is isomorphic to \mathcal{A} . This extends to the Koszul complex

$$(\wedge^{\bullet}\mathcal{M},d) = \left(\cdots \longrightarrow \wedge^{3}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \wedge^{2}\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{e}} \right)$$

where $\wedge^k \mathcal{M}$ denotes the kth exterior power of \mathcal{M} as an \mathcal{A}^{e} -module, and where the differential is given in terms of the basis elements $e_i \in \mathcal{M}$ by the formula

$$\mathbf{d}(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}) = \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k r_{i_k} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e_{i_k}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}.$$

One can show that the Koszul complex gives a free resolution of \mathcal{A} as an \mathcal{A}^{e} -module; this uses the fact that the elements r_1, \ldots, r_n form a regular sequence (see, e.g. [1, Chapter 17]).

If we let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^{e}} \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}^{\oplus n}$ then by Proposition 2.2, the Hochschild homology of \mathcal{A} is the homology of the complex $(\wedge^{\bullet}\mathcal{M}, d) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^{e}} \mathcal{A} \cong (\wedge^{\bullet}\mathcal{N}, d = 0)$. Therefore

$$\mathsf{HH}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \wedge^{\bullet}\mathcal{N}$$

where \mathcal{N} is a free \mathcal{A} -module of rank n. In the next lecture, we will see that \mathcal{N} is best interpreted as the differential one-forms on the affine space $\mathbb{A}^n = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$, and view the result above as a special case of the Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem.

Example 2.5. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over \mathbb{K} , and let $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ be its universal enveloping algebra. Thus $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the quotient

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = rac{\mathsf{T}(\mathfrak{g})}{(x\otimes y - y\otimes x - [x,y])}$$

where $\mathsf{T}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \cdots$ is the tensor algebra of \mathfrak{g} . By construction, a left $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module is the same data as a representation of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Let \mathfrak{g}_t denote the Lie algebra whose bracket is given by rescaling the bracket on \mathfrak{g} by the constant t, i.e. $[-,-]_t = t[-,-]$ for $t \in \mathbb{K}$. When t = 0, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_t is abelian and its universal enveloping algebra is simply the symmetric algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_t) = \mathcal{S}ym(\mathfrak{g})$, i.e. a polynomial ring. We can view it as the algebra of functions on the affine space \mathfrak{g}^{\vee} (the dual vector space of \mathfrak{g}). If $[-,-] \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_t)$ is noncommutative for $t \neq 0$, but the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem states that there is a natural isomorphism of vector spaces $\mathcal{S}ym(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, given by the symmetrization of the product on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus we can view the product on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ as a noncommutative deformation (quantization) of the product on the commutative ring $\mathcal{S}ym(\mathfrak{g})$.

Correspondingly, the Hochschild complex of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ can be computed using a deformation of the Koszul complex from Example 2.4. More precisely, we have a complex $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \wedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}, d)$, where the differential is given by

$$d(u \otimes x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (x_i u - u x_i) \otimes x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \dots \wedge x_n + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} u \otimes [x_i, x_j] \wedge x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{x}_j \wedge \dots \wedge x_n$$

This is the complex that computes the Chevalley–Eilenberg Lie algebra homology of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ as a right \mathfrak{g} -module, where the action of $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ on $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by $u \cdot x = ux - xu$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$; in other words, \mathfrak{g} is acting via the adjoint representation on the bimodule $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.

One can check that the antisymmetrization map

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \wedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g} \to \mathsf{C}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$$
$$u \otimes g_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge g_p \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) u \otimes g_{\sigma_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{\sigma_p}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism (see [3, Section 3.3.1] for details), so that we have an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{HH}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \cong \mathsf{H}_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$$

between the Hochschild homology and the Lie algebra homology.

3 Hochschild homology of dg algebras

We now briefly explain how to extend the definition of Hochschild homology to the more general setting of differential graded algebras.

Definition 3.1. A (unital) *differential graded (dg) algebra* is a cochain complex (\mathcal{A}, δ) with a maps of complexes

$$\mu:\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}$$

that gives an associative product on \mathcal{A} , and a cocycle $1 \in \mathcal{A}$ that is the multiplicative unit.

A dg algebra is, in particular, an algebra, so we can form the usual Hochschild differential

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\mathrm{b}} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{b}} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{b}} \mathcal{A}$$

but now since \mathcal{A} is itself a complex, this is really a double complex, where the vertical arrows are the usual differentials on the tensor product of complexes:

The *Hochschild complex of* A is then the direct sum total complex of this double complex:

$$\mathsf{C}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathsf{Tot}^{\oplus} \left(\cdots \xrightarrow{\mathrm{b}} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{b}} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{b}} \mathcal{A} \right)$$

If \mathcal{A} is an ordinary algebra, viewed as a dg algebra concentrated in degree zero, this clearly recovers the previous definition.

4 Differential graded categories

Finally, we extend to the setting of differential graded categories, which is where many of the most interesting examples are situated. Standard references include [2, 4].

Definition 4.1. A K-linear *differential graded category* (*dg category* for short) is a category C enriched in complexes of K-vector spaces. More concretely, it consists of

- a collection of objects $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, \ldots \in \mathcal{C}$.
- a complex $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}, N) = \mathsf{Hom}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ of morphisms for every pair of objects x, y
- composition maps

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{M})\otimes\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})\to\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{N})$$

that are morphisms of complexes, and satisfy the usual associative law from compositions: $(f \circ g) \circ h = f \circ (g \circ h)$.

• a cocycle $1 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ for every object \mathcal{M} , that acts as the identity for the composition law

If C is a dg category, then we obtain a K-linear category $H^0(C)$ by taking zeroth cohomology of all the morphism complexes. This is called the *homotopy* category of C.

Example 4.2. Any K-linear category is a dg category C where the morphism complex is concentrated in degree zero. In this case $H^0(C) = C$.

Example 4.3. A dg algebra \mathcal{A} can be viewed as a dg category with a single object $* \in \mathcal{C}$ whose endomorphisms are given by $\mathcal{C}(*,*) = \mathcal{A}$. In this case $\mathsf{H}^0(\mathcal{C})$ is the category with one object * and endomorphism algebra $\mathsf{H}^0(\mathcal{A})$.

Example 4.4. If \mathcal{A} is an algebra (or more generally, a dg algebra), then there is a dg category $\mathsf{Cplx}(\mathcal{A})$ whose objects are complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules (resp. dg modules over \mathcal{A}). Give a pair of complexes $(\mathcal{M}, d_{\mathcal{M}})$ and $(\mathcal{N}, d_{\mathcal{N}})$, the complex of morphisms $\mathsf{Hom}^{\mathsf{c}}_{\mathsf{Cplx}(\mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{M}, N)$ has degree-*n* piece given by

$$\operatorname{Hom}^n_{\operatorname{Cplx}(\mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})=\prod_{i=-\infty}^\infty\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}^i,\mathcal{N}^{i+n})$$

the space of degree-*n* maps of graded \mathcal{A} -modules. The differential is given by $\mathrm{d}f = \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{N}}f - (-1)^n f \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{M}}$ where $f \in \mathrm{Hom}^n_{\mathsf{Cplx}(\mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. Note that the degree zero cocycles are precisely the cochain maps, and the degree-zero coboundaries are the null-homotopic cochain maps. Hence $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathsf{Cplx}(\mathcal{A}))$ is the homotopy category of complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules.

Example 4.5. The category of *perfect complexes of A*-*modules* is the full subcategory

$$\mathsf{Perf}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathsf{Cplx}(\mathcal{A})$$

consisting of complexes that are quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of projective A-modules of finite rank.

Example 4.6. Let X be a quasi-compact quasi-separated scheme (we need this technical condition to ensure that QCoh(X) is Grothendieck abelian, i.e. a category we can do homological algebra with). Then there are dg categories

$$\mathsf{Perf}(\mathsf{X}) \subset \mathsf{Coh}_{\mathsf{dg}}(\mathsf{X}) \subset \mathsf{QCoh}_{\mathsf{dg}}(\mathsf{X})$$

whose homotopy categories are the bounded derived categories

$$\mathsf{D^b}\mathsf{Perf}(\mathsf{X})\subset\mathsf{D^b}\mathsf{Coh}(\mathsf{X})\subset\mathsf{D^b}\mathsf{QCoh}(\mathsf{X})$$

of perfect/coherent/quasicoherent sheaves on X. The construction of these dg categories is slightly subtle because QCoh(X) does not have enough projectives. One approach is to use take the subcategory of the dg category of chain complexes in QCoh(X), consisting of complexes that are injective as \mathcal{O}_X -modules and locally quasi-isomorphic to perfect/coherent/quasi-coherent complexes.

It is straightforward to extend the definition of Hochschild homology to arbitrary (small) dg categories (c.f. [2], Section 5.3). Indeed, suppose that C is a dg category. Then we may define a double complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{b} \bigoplus_{\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_1 \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_1) \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_0) \xrightarrow{b} \bigoplus_{\mathcal{M}_0 \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_0)$$

where the nth column is given by the space

$$\bigoplus_{\mathcal{M}_0,\mathcal{M}_1,...,\mathcal{M}_n\in\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}_0,\mathcal{M}_1)\otimes\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}_n,\mathcal{M}_0)$$

formed from morphisms in C that compose in a cycle:



The horizontal differential is given by

$$\mathbf{b}(f_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+|f_i||f_{i+1}|} f_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes (f_{i+1} \circ f_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$$
$$+ (-1)^{|f_n|(|f_{n-1}|+\cdots+|f_0|)} f_n \circ f_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n-1}.$$

and the vertical differential is the usual one on the tensor product. Denoting by $C_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$ the direct sum total complex of this double complex, the *Hochschild homology* of \mathcal{C} is the cohomology $HH_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}) := H_{\bullet}(C_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}), b)$. It's not hard to see that if \mathcal{C} is a dga, then this agrees with the Hochschild homology defined earlier.

References

- D. Eisenbud, Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [2] B. Keller, On differential graded categories, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006, pp. 151–190.
- [3] J.-L. Loday, Cyclic homology, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 301, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Appendix E by María O. Ronco, Chapter 13 by the author in collaboration with Teimuraz Pirashvili.
- [4] B. Toën, Lectures on dg-categories, Topics in algebraic and topological Ktheory, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2008, Springer, Berlin, 2011, pp. 243– 302.
- [5] C. A. Weibel, An introduction to homological algebra, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.